

CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - PineTree Apartments

Property Identification

PineTree Apartments
FAIRFIELD, CT

Total Current Unit Count: 38
Census Tract: 610.00
Connecticut Congressional District: 4

CHFA Property Identification #: 85052D, 85053D

Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Elderly

This property was originally financed in phases and appears in CHFA's records as two separate properties. However, lenders and investors are likely to favor larger transactions given the efficiencies of scale and Recap has elected to analyze these properties as a unitary whole. Recap also recommends that the owner and CHFA merge the properties for purposes of reporting, accounting and ownership.

Property Description

Tenancy Type: Elderly/Disabled
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)
Number of buildings: 11
Maximum # of Stories: 1
Elevator? None

Summary property description:

The PineTree Apartments property has 20 efficiency or studio and 18 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of relatively small units. It features amenities such as central air conditioning, semi-private patios, and a community room.

Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs
(without market enhancements): \$ 1,461,076

Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 38,449

Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ (11,898)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative \$11,900 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$1.46 million (\$38,449 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Current average income relative to
the Area Median Income (AMI): 27%

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	300	19%
One-bedroom unit:	310	19%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	461	30%
One-bedroom unit:	494	30%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

In order for the property to operate in a sustainable manner into the foreseeable future, the property would benefit from greater revenues. The Capital Plan is modeled with the assumption that the State will be making new rental assistance payment contracts available and this analysis recommends that a RAP contract be established for this property. The RAP allows the residents to pay an affordable rent based on their income and pays the difference up to an agreed revenue level which this Capital Plan recommends be set high enough to generate a sustainable revenue stream.

Low base rent levels maximize affordability for households in the community. However, if the property's revenue stream (including any available operating subsidy) does not cover the cost of actually operating the property, including the cost of ongoing maintenance and capital improvements, necessary repairs and maintenance will get deferred. An extended period of deferred maintenance can put the property itself at risk, which would be a significant blow to the availability of affordable housing in the area.

The Capital Plan is intended to identify the real estate needs of the State Sponsored Housing Portfolio. In order to ensure a minimum revenue stream, this analysis assumes that all base rents are adjusted in 2014 to equal the greater of a) the current base rent or b) 30% of the adjusted gross income of a household at 30% of AMI for the applicable household size, provided these levels do not exceed the local market.

The figures to the left indicate the additional rental operating subsidy which would be necessary in 2014 to cover this base rent increase as well as the total 20 year impact given that this subsidy need will recur annually, with inflation increases. Since the rental assistance payment protects the residents of the property, none of the actual households would be impacted by the increase in the base rent and the property would continue to serve the current resident demographic.

Number of current households that would be
impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: 0

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to
generate revenue equal to raising the base rent
as proposed: \$ 55,431

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy
over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: \$ 1,266,075

Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction

PineTree Apartments, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	23	38
25-50% of AMI	12	0
50% of AMI or greater	3	0
Total number of units	38	38

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:	461	750
One-bedroom unit:	494	750
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

While the revenue generated by the increase in the base rent improves the property's income and expense picture, it is insufficient for the property to operate sustainably for the foreseeable future. (The capital plan analysis considers sustainable operations to be a level of operating income sufficient to cover operating expenses and servicing of any capital leverage necessary to maintain the physical asset for the next 15-20 years without routine capital subsidies from the State.) However, as noted above, the analysis assumes this property will receive a project-based rental assistance payment contract. A RAP arrangement provides operating support to the property while permitting residents to pay based on what they can afford, so income mixing is neither needed nor appropriate. For extremely low income households, properties with a RAP are their only viable option. In order to ensure long-term stability, a post-transaction base rent increase, which would be covered by the RAP subsidy, is used to generate enough income for the property to operate at a sustainable level.

The rental assistance payment ensures that the property receives the base rent. However, since the base rent increase suggested above is insufficient over the long term, the only alternative is to increase the base rent again in conjunction with the recapitalization transaction. (An income-tier structure in this situation would only serve to reduce housing options to the lowest income households, without increasing revenue to the property.)

An increase in the base rent at a property with a rental assistance payment translates into an increase in the operating subsidy necessary to sustain the property over time.

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year
which would be necessary to generate additional
revenue equal to that generated by income

mixing: \$ -

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to
sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on

the adjusted base rent: \$ 2,330,790

Property used for market reference: Pine Tree Apartments I & II

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(948,760)	(1,674,898)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(2,014,495)	(4,446,432)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(967,337)	(4,198,952)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(422,168)	(3,716,069)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	609,740	(3,261,379)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions

PineTree Apartments, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	4% LIHTC	<p>The capital plan recommends using the 4% low income housing tax credit scenario to finance the capital needs at this property. The debt-only scenario leaves significant capital needs unaddressed, while the use of 9% tax credits at this property would be an inefficient use of the scarce 9% resource given the competing needs within the portfolio and within the State as a whole. The 4% LIHTC scenario, however, covers the capital needs appropriately while minimizing the need for State capital subsidies. This analysis has suggested a potential transaction year of 2017 based on a series of criteria outlined in the capital plan report. In short, the transaction year has been informed by the distribution of critical capital needs year-by-year at the property (i.e. roof, mechanical, structural components) and by the need to distribute the timing of capital transaction for properties within the State Sponsored Housing Portfolio over a period of years in order to manage scarce State-wide resources.</p> <p>This property has been underwritten assuming replacement reserve deposits of \$350 per unit per year, assuming debt service coverage is maintained over 1.428 throughout the first 15 years of the new financing, and assuming hard construction capital needs of \$1.46 million.</p>
Recommended Transaction Year	2017	
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	350	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	1.200	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	1.428	
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	<p>The property is able to cover its capital needs from current replacement reserves through the date of the capital transaction, so no interim State support is needed.</p>
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	422,168	

Summary of Recommended Transaction

Under the 4% LIHTC scenario, the property yields \$121,129 in NOI in the transaction completion year, which includes \$350 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits. After debt service, the property generates \$42,833 in cash flow in the capital transaction's completion year, trending to \$33,473 fifteen years thereafter. Post-transaction, distribution of cash flow is governed by the terms of the transaction documents and, to the extent not restricted by the documents, could be used at the owner's discretion for ongoing capital needs, owner's working capital or the owner's other priorities. The transaction raises \$1,211,000 in debt and \$1,031,000 in equity. The transaction results in a gap of \$422,000, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. This compares to a needs gap of over \$1,674,000 if no transaction takes place at the property and the capital needs are addressed through routine maintenance or a needs gap of over \$2,014,000 if the capital needs are addressed in a consolidated transaction relying entirely on State capital subsidy.

Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs

PineTree Apartments, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 0
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 118,484

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	118,484	-	-	-	-	-
2014	76,428	-	-	-	55,431	-
2015	78,722	-	-	-	56,540	-
2016	81,084	-	-	-	57,670	-
2017	53,941	-	422,168	-	58,824	-
2018	174,518	-	-	-	194,779	-
2019	28,586	-	-	-	198,675	-
2020	29,444	-	-	-	202,648	-
2021	30,328	-	-	-	206,701	-
2022	33,588	-	-	-	210,835	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	97,166	-	-	-	215,052	-
2024	99,384	-	-	-	219,353	-
2025	44,054	-	-	-	223,740	-
2026	106,138	-	-	-	228,215	-
2027	109,845	-	-	-	232,779	-
2028	67,410	-	-	-	237,435	-
2029	113,805	-	-	-	242,184	-
2030	36,518	-	-	-	247,027	-
2031	47,649	-	-	-	251,968	-
2032	33,986	-	-	-	257,007	-

Scenario Pro Formas

PineTree Apartments, continued

Income and Expense Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
2023 ANNUAL INCOME										
Gross Potential Rent	212,513	5,592.44	425,234	11,190.37	425,234	11,190	425,234	11,190	425,234	11,190
Vacancy/Loss	-	-	-	-	(21,262)	(560)	(29,766)	(783)	(29,766)	(783)
Other Income	5,441	143.18	5,441	143.18	5,441	143	5,441	143	5,441	143
Effective Gross Income	217,953	5,735.62	430,675	11,333.55	409,413	10,774	400,909	10,550	400,909	10,550
2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES										
Operating Expenses	247,272	6,507	268,806	7,074	261,913	6,892	261,488	6,881	261,488	6,881
Replacement Reserve Deposits	6,773	178	6,773	178	18,930	498	18,930	498	18,930	498
Total Operating Expenses	254,045	6,685	275,579	7,252	280,843	7,391	280,418	7,379	280,418	7,379
2023 NET OPERATING INCOME	(36,091)	(950)	155,096	4,081	128,570	3,383	120,490	3,171	120,490	3,171
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	78,428	2,064	78,296	2,060	73,960	1,946
2023 CASH FLOW	(36,091)	(950)	155,096	4,081	50,142	1,320	42,194	1,110	46,530	1,224

Sources and Uses Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
SOURCES										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	1,364,755	35,915	1,211,297	31,876	1,287,003	33,869
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,514,122	39,845	1,514,122	39,845
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	17,574	462	30,874	812	30,874	812	30,874	812
Cash Escrows	-	-	378,573	9,962	378,573	9,962	378,573	9,962	378,573	9,962
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	139,488	3,671	147,736	3,888	147,131	3,872
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,031,240	27,138	1,985,306	52,245
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sources of Funds	-	-	396,147	10,425	1,913,690	50,360	4,313,842	113,522	5,343,009	140,606
USES										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,514,122	39,845	1,514,122	39,845
Construction Costs	-	-	1,890,527	49,751	1,890,527	49,751	1,911,478	50,302	1,911,478	50,302
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	213,985	5,631	211,005	5,553	215,976	5,684	215,976	5,684
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	11,669	307	21,069	554	24,811	653	24,811	653
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	38,074	1,002	118,074	3,107	241,311	6,350	241,311	6,350
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	58,947	1,551	186,357	4,904	230,556	6,067	229,508	6,040
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	21,850	575	24,700	650	24,700	650	24,700	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	17,226	453	28,060	738	32,776	863	32,264	849
Reserves	-	-	-	-	52,514	1,382	170,941	4,498	171,273	4,507
Developer Fee	-	-	158,363	4,167	348,721	9,177	369,340	9,719	367,827	9,680
Total Uses of Funds	-	-	2,410,642	63,438	2,881,027	75,817	4,736,010	124,632	4,733,270	124,560
TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)	-	-	(2,014,495)	(53,013)	(967,337)	(25,456)	(422,168)	(11,110)	609,740	16,046

Scenario Pro Formas (continued)

PineTree Apartments, continued

Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
FUNDS										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	1,459,011	38,395	1,459,011	38,395	1,459,011	38,395	1,459,011	38,395
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	948,760	24,967	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	380,638	10,017	380,638	10,017	380,638	10,017	380,638	10,017	380,638	10,017
Replacement Reserves	131,678	3,465	131,678	3,465	368,027	9,685	368,027	9,685	368,027	9,685
Total Funds	1,461,076	38,449	1,971,327	51,877	2,207,677	58,097	2,207,677	58,097	2,207,677	58,097
USES										
Estimated Capital Needs	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449	1,461,076	38,449
YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE	-	-	510,251	13,428	746,600	19,647	746,600	19,647	746,600	19,647

Subsidy Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
OPERATING SUBSIDY										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	726,138	19,109	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Subsidy	726,138	19,109	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654	3,596,865	94,654
CAPITAL SUBSIDY										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	948,760	24,967	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(1,164,928)	(30,656)	(365,250)	(9,612)	(302,964)	(7,973)	(335,486)	(8,829)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	2,014,495	53,013	967,337	25,456	422,168	11,110	-	-
Total Capital Subsidy	948,760	24,967	849,567	22,357	602,087	15,844	119,204	3,137	(335,486)	(8,829)
TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED	1,674,898	44,076	4,446,432	117,011	4,198,952	110,499	3,716,069	97,791	3,261,379	85,826