

CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Faith Manor

Property Identification

Faith Manor
HARTFORD, CT

Total Current Unit Count: 40
Census Tract: 5018.00
Connecticut Congressional District: 1

CHFA Property Identification #: 91096D
Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Elderly

This is a single, stand-alone property. As there are no other adjacent properties under common ownership, there are no opportunities for consolidation to achieve greater efficiencies of scale.

Property Description

Tenancy Type: Elderly/Disabled
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)
Number of buildings: 1
Maximum # of Stories: 3
Elevator? Yes

Summary property description:

The Faith Manor property has 40 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of relatively spacious units. It features amenities such as common laundry, as well as a community room.

Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs
(without market enhancements): \$ 1,312,778

Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 32,819

Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ 21,724

Current operations at the property are projected to generate roughly \$21,700 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and results in negative NOI beginning in 2022. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$1.31 million (\$32,819 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Revenue Adjustments Prior to a Recapitalization Transaction

Faith Manor, continued

Current average income relative to the Area Median Income (AMI): 22%

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	806	50%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	806	50%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction. Consequently, the Capital Plan does not recommend a specific revenue adjustment. The owner should note, however, that the rental income is not projected to meet the property's expenses over the next 20 years and may struggle to cover future capital needs. The owner may want to consider an adjustment in the property's base rent in order to avoid future budget problems.

Number of current households that would be impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: n/a

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to generate revenue equal to raising the base rent as proposed: n/a

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: n/a

Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction

Faith Manor, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	35	35
25-50% of AMI	4	4
50% of AMI or greater	1	1
Total number of units	40	40

Since the Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction, the analysis does not assume any changes to the property's income mix.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	806	806
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: n/a

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on the adjusted base rent: n/a

Property used for market reference: Faith Manor

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(687,431)	(916,063)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(1,915,155)	(2,947,814)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(1,511,905)	(2,834,605)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(1,054,977)	(2,373,564)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	142,573	(1,318,907)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions

Faith Manor, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	Current	The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured (i.e., the current scenario described above), as this approach requires the least amount of subsidy from the State over time as compared to the other capital leverage transactions. In the absence of a consolidated recapitalization transaction, however, the property will need additional resources on a continuing basis.
Recommended Transaction Year	n/a	The Capital Plan recommends that the property receive annual grants as needed to cover the gap between the property's capital need budget and the property's ability to pay those costs. These grants, identified as "Pre-Transaction Subsidy" (since no consolidated transaction is proposed), would total \$687,431 over the course of the next 20 years.
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	273	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-	At this time, the "Current Scenario" is the only approach which reasonably covers the property's capital needs given the current programmatic assumptions. However, it is neither a sustainable nor an efficient strategy as it requires the State to have a much more active role in supervising both capital and operating budgets. This level of oversight would correspond to a higher degree of accountability by the owner to the State.
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	687,431	
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	The "Current Scenario" would also require the property to self-manage improvements as they become necessary - the budget does not anticipate the availability of a general contractor. In other words, the property management staff would bring in the specific tradespeople as necessary to repair or replace the components as they fail. Since this is consistent with current property management practices, this burden should be manageable for the owner.

Summary of Recommended Transaction

This property does not have a stable operating revenue and expense picture and is at-risk of experiencing long term structural operating deficits unless it is able to access significant operating subsidy. Under the Current scenario, the property yields \$21,724 in NOI in the current year, which includes \$273 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits, trending to negative \$24,190 fifteen years thereafter. The transaction results in a capital subsidy need of \$687,000 and \$228,000 in operating deficit subsidy, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. Given that the "Current Scenario" assumes a heavy dependence on state subsidy on an ongoing annual basis, any cash flow should presumably be escrowed to offset future subsidy need or to repay the State for prior subsidy payments.

Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs

Faith Manor, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 69,623
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 122,858

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	192,480	-	-	-	-	-
2014	54,292	-	-	-	-	-
2015	55,920	18,365	-	-	-	-
2016	153,949	125,112	-	-	-	-
2017	66,923	40,165	-	-	-	-
2018	18,150	-	-	-	-	-
2019	14,276	-	-	-	-	-
2020	44,591	10,456	-	-	-	-
2021	218,811	201,632	-	-	-	-
2022	47,306	32,352	-	512	-	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	57,730	42,177	-	3,993	-	-
2024	16,550	375	-	7,651	-	-
2025	17,047	225	-	11,492	-	-
2026	175,175	157,680	-	15,524	-	-
2027	23,001	4,806	-	19,754	-	-
2028	55,444	36,521	-	24,190	-	-
2029	19,186	-	-	28,840	-	-
2030	19,762	-	-	33,711	-	-
2031	26,313	3,831	-	38,812	-	-
2032	35,870	13,734	-	44,153	-	-

Scenario Pro Formas

Faith Manor, continued

Income and Expense Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
2023 ANNUAL INCOME										
Gross Potential Rent	373,460	9,336.50	450,083	11,252.08	450,083	11,252	450,083	11,252	450,083	11,252
Vacancy/Loss	(14,435)	(360.87)	(17,409)	(435.24)	(22,504)	(563)	(31,506)	(788)	(31,506)	(788)
Other Income	3,097	77.43	3,097	77.43	3,097	77	3,097	77	3,097	77
Effective Gross Income	362,122	9,053.06	435,771	10,894.28	430,677	10,767	421,675	10,542	421,675	10,542
2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES										
Operating Expenses	350,562	8,764	348,474	8,712	338,795	8,470	338,344	8,459	338,344	8,459
Replacement Reserve Deposits	15,553	389	15,553	389	19,926	498	19,926	498	19,926	498
Total Operating Expenses	366,115	9,153	364,027	9,101	358,721	8,968	358,271	8,957	358,271	8,957
2023 NET OPERATING INCOME	(3,993)	(100)	71,744	1,794	71,956	1,799	63,404	1,585	63,404	1,585
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	38,900	973	29,422	736	29,465	737
2023 CASH FLOW	(3,993)	(100)	71,744	1,794	33,056	826	33,982	850	33,939	848

Sources and Uses Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
SOURCES										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	676,916	16,923	403,601	10,090	512,727	12,818
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200,000	30,000	1,200,000	30,000
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	62,475	1,562	76,475	1,912	76,475	1,912	76,475	1,912
Cash Escrows	-	-	165,722	4,143	165,722	4,143	165,722	4,143	165,722	4,143
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	124,369	3,109	131,596	3,290	130,934	3,273
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,072,482	26,812	2,161,156	54,029
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sources of Funds	-	-	228,196	5,705	1,043,482	26,087	3,049,876	76,247	4,247,013	106,175
USES										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200,000	30,000	1,200,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	1,629,579	40,739	1,629,579	40,739	1,647,638	41,191	1,647,638	41,191
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	186,174	4,654	183,606	4,590	187,890	4,697	187,890	4,697
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	11,455	286	20,955	524	24,417	610	24,417	610
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	82,975	2,074	162,975	4,074	283,695	7,092	283,695	7,092
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	51,603	1,290	160,210	4,005	193,629	4,841	192,911	4,823
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	23,000	575	26,000	650	26,000	650	26,000	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	17,760	444	27,687	692	31,809	795	31,248	781
Reserves	-	-	-	-	33,450	836	180,784	4,520	183,305	4,583
Developer Fee	-	-	140,804	3,520	310,924	7,773	328,991	8,225	327,335	8,183
Total Uses of Funds	-	-	2,143,351	53,584	2,555,386	63,885	4,104,853	102,621	4,104,440	102,611
TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)	-	-	(1,915,155)	(47,879)	(1,511,905)	(37,798)	(1,054,977)	(26,374)	142,573	3,564

Scenario Pro Formas (continued)

Faith Manor, continued

Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
FUNDS										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	1,257,625	31,441	1,257,625	31,441	1,257,625	31,441	1,257,625	31,441
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	687,431	17,186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	220,875	5,522	220,875	5,522	220,875	5,522	220,875	5,522	220,875	5,522
Replacement Reserves	404,472	10,112	302,371	7,559	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685
Total Funds	1,312,778	32,819	1,780,871	44,522	1,865,897	46,647	1,865,897	46,647	1,865,897	46,647
USES										
Estimated Capital Needs	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819	1,312,778	32,819
YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE	-	-	468,093	11,702	553,119	13,828	553,119	13,828	553,119	13,828

Subsidy Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
OPERATING SUBSIDY										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	228,632	5,716	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Subsidy	228,632	5,716	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155	1,526,214	38,155
CAPITAL SUBSIDY										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	687,431	17,186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(493,555)	(12,339)	(203,514)	(5,088)	(207,627)	(5,191)	(207,308)	(5,183)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,915,155	47,879	1,511,905	37,798	1,054,977	26,374	-	-
Total Capital Subsidy	687,431	17,186	1,421,600	35,540	1,308,390	32,710	847,349	21,184	(207,308)	(5,183)
TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED	916,063	22,902	2,947,814	73,695	2,834,605	70,865	2,373,564	59,339	1,318,907	32,973