

## CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Hill House

### Property Identification

Hill House  
GREENWICH, CT

Total Current Unit Count: 38  
Census Tract: 111.00  
Connecticut Congressional District: 4

CHFA Property Identification #: 99012D  
Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Congregate

This is a single, stand-alone property. As there are no other adjacent properties under common ownership, there are no opportunities for consolidation to achieve greater efficiencies of scale.

### Property Description

Tenancy Type: Congregate  
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)  
Number of buildings: 1  
Maximum # of Stories: 3  
Elevator? Yes

Summary property description:

The Hill House property has 38 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of relatively small units. It features amenities such as central air conditioning, common laundry and a community room, and meal service.

### Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs  
(without market enhancements): \$ 2,038,357

Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 53,641

Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ (14,917)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative \$14,900 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$2.04 million (\$53,640 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Property Assessment Notes:

The Hill House Property Assessment has been revised to reflect the final Capital Needs Assessment completed on the property. However, the final revisions to the Property Assessment, based on the updated Capital Needs Assessment, were completed after all site-based figures were aggregated into the Capital Plan analysis and report. The numbers in this Property Assessment vary from those reflected in the Capital Plan report but the variance is not sufficient to change the recommendations in any way.

**Revenue Adjustments Prior to a Recapitalization Transaction**

Hill House, continued

Current average income relative to the Area Median Income (AMI): 22%

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	685	30%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	685	30%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction. Consequently, the Capital Plan does not recommend a specific revenue adjustment. The owner should note, however, that the rental income is not projected to meet the property's expenses over the next 20 years and may struggle to cover future capital needs. The owner may want to consider an adjustment in the property's base rent in order to avoid future budget problems.

Number of current households that would be impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: n/a

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to generate revenue equal to raising the base rent as proposed: n/a

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: n/a

**Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction**

Hill House, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	36	36
25-50% of AMI	0	0
50% of AMI or greater	2	2
Total number of units	38	38

Since the Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction, the analysis does not assume any changes to the property's income mix.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	685	685
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: n/a

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on the adjusted base rent: n/a

Property used for market reference: Hill House

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(942,252)	(2,125,326)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(3,395,960)	(4,697,206)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(3,192,810)	(4,460,156)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(2,627,914)	(3,893,741)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	(1,163,613)	(2,429,493)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

**Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions**

Hill House, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	Current	
Recommended Transaction Year	n/a	The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured (i.e., the current scenario described above), as this approach requires the least amount of subsidy from the State over time as compared to the other capital leverage transactions. In the absence of a consolidated recapitalization transaction, however, the property will need additional resources on a continuing basis.
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	984	The Capital Plan recommends that the property receive annual grants as needed to cover the gap between the property's capital need budget and the property's ability to pay those costs. These grants, identified as "Pre-Transaction Subsidy" (since no consolidated transaction is proposed), would total \$878,127 over the course of the next 20 years.
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-	At this time, the "Current Scenario" is the only approach which reasonably covers the property's capital needs given the small size of the property and the current programmatic assumptions. However, it is neither a sustainable nor an efficient strategy as it requires the State to have a much more active role in supervising both capital and operating budgets. This level of oversight would correspond to a higher degree of accountability by the owner to the State.
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	942,252	
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	The "Current Scenario" would also require the property to self-manage improvements as they become necessary - the budget does not anticipate the availability of a general contractor. In other words, the property management staff would bring in the specific tradespeople as necessary to repair or replace the components as they fail. Since this is consistent with current property management practices, this burden should be manageable for the owner.

**Summary of Recommended Transaction**

This property does not have a stable operating revenue and expense picture and is at-risk of experiencing long term structural operating deficits unless it is able to access significant operating subsidy. Under the Current scenario, the property yields negative \$14,917 in NOI in the current year, which includes \$984 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits, trending to negative \$91,234 fifteen years thereafter. The transaction results in a capital subsidy need of \$878,1270 and \$1,183,074 in operating deficit subsidy, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. Given that the "Current Scenario" assumes a heavy dependence on state subsidy on an ongoing annual basis, any cash flow should presumably be escrowed to offset future subsidy need or to repay the state for prior subsidy payments.

**Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs**

Hill House, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0  
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 0  
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 183,749

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	183,749	75,888	-	-	-	-
2014	26,407	-	-	14,917	-	-
2015	54,895	5,007	-	18,870	-	-
2016	203,245	162,793	-	23,020	-	-
2017	117,685	75,615	-	27,373	-	-
2018	55,778	12,026	-	31,938	-	-
2019	33,912	-	-	36,724	-	-
2020	77,086	18,173	-	41,738	-	-
2021	171,331	122,116	-	46,990	-	-
2022	44,613	-	-	52,490	-	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	67,198	7,395	-	58,245	-	-
2024	56,604	1,243	-	64,268	-	-
2025	39,562	-	-	70,567	-	-
2026	256,761	178,870	-	77,153	-	-
2027	151,703	89,430	-	84,039	-	-
2028	77,534	12,770	-	91,234	-	-
2029	48,478	-	-	98,751	-	-
2030	135,100	46,174	-	106,601	-	-
2031	207,603	134,752	-	114,799	-	-
2032	29,113	-	-	123,357	-	-

**Scenario Pro Formas**

Hill House, continued

**Income and Expense Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>2023 ANNUAL INCOME</b>										
Gross Potential Rent	388,380	10,220.54	517,174	13,609.84	517,174	13,610	517,174	13,610	517,174	13,610
Vacancy/Loss	(1,095)	(28.83)	(1,394)	(36.68)	(25,859)	(680)	(36,202)	(953)	(36,202)	(953)
Other Income	15	0.40	15	0.40	15	0	15	0	15	0
<b>Effective Gross Income</b>	<b>387,300</b>	<b>10,192.11</b>	<b>515,796</b>	<b>13,573.57</b>	<b>491,331</b>	<b>12,930</b>	<b>480,987</b>	<b>12,658</b>	<b>480,987</b>	<b>12,658</b>
<b>2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES</b>										
Operating Expenses	392,314	10,324	405,070	10,660	391,039	10,290	390,522	10,277	390,522	10,277
Replacement Reserve Deposits	53,231	1,401	53,231	1,401	18,930	498	18,930	498	18,930	498
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>445,546</b>	<b>11,725</b>	<b>458,301</b>	<b>12,061</b>	<b>409,969</b>	<b>10,789</b>	<b>409,452</b>	<b>10,775</b>	<b>409,452</b>	<b>10,775</b>
<b>2023 NET OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>(58,245)</b>	<b>(1,533)</b>	<b>57,494</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>81,362</b>	<b>2,141</b>	<b>71,535</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>71,535</b>	<b>1,883</b>
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	35,482	934	24,258	638	24,266	639
<b>2023 CASH FLOW</b>	<b>(58,245)</b>	<b>(1,533)</b>	<b>57,494</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>45,880</b>	<b>1,207</b>	<b>47,277</b>	<b>1,244</b>	<b>47,269</b>	<b>1,244</b>

**Sources and Uses Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>SOURCES</b>										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	617,427	16,248	318,258	8,375	422,265	11,112
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,140,000	30,000	1,140,000	30,000
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	27,811	732	41,111	1,082	41,111	1,082	41,111	1,082
Cash Escrows	-	-	115,783	3,047	66,620	1,753	66,620	1,753	66,620	1,753
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	201,627	5,306	210,233	5,532	209,385	5,510
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,266,555	33,330	2,625,862	69,102
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Sources of Funds</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>143,594</b>	<b>3,779</b>	<b>926,784</b>	<b>24,389</b>	<b>3,042,777</b>	<b>80,073</b>	<b>4,505,242</b>	<b>118,559</b>
<b>USES</b>										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,140,000	30,000	1,140,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	2,803,549	73,778	2,803,549	73,778	2,834,617	74,595	2,834,617	74,595
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	311,292	8,192	306,873	8,076	314,243	8,270	314,243	8,270
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	12,764	336	22,164	583	25,470	670	25,470	670
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	48,311	1,271	128,311	3,377	260,356	6,851	260,356	6,851
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	85,288	2,244	261,701	6,887	294,139	7,740	292,637	7,701
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	21,850	575	24,700	650	24,700	650	24,700	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	23,975	631	37,187	979	41,222	1,085	40,504	1,066
Reserves	-	-	-	-	31,041	817	210,362	5,536	212,866	5,602
Developer Fee	-	-	232,525	6,119	504,068	13,265	525,583	13,831	523,463	13,775
<b>Total Uses of Funds</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3,539,554</b>	<b>93,146</b>	<b>4,119,594</b>	<b>108,410</b>	<b>5,670,691</b>	<b>149,229</b>	<b>5,668,855</b>	<b>149,180</b>
<b>TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(3,395,960)</b>	<b>(89,367)</b>	<b>(3,192,810)</b>	<b>(84,021)</b>	<b>(2,627,914)</b>	<b>(69,156)</b>	<b>(1,163,613)</b>	<b>(30,621)</b>

**Scenario Pro Formas (continued)**

Hill House, continued

**Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>FUNDS</b>										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	2,163,634	56,938	2,163,634	56,938	2,163,634	56,938	2,163,634	56,938
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	942,252	24,796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	107,861	2,838	107,861	2,838	107,861	2,838	107,861	2,838	107,861	2,838
Replacement Reserves	1,034,896	27,234	1,034,896	27,234	368,027	9,685	368,027	9,685	368,027	9,685
<b>Total Funds</b>	<b>2,085,009</b>	<b>54,869</b>	<b>3,306,391</b>	<b>87,010</b>	<b>2,639,522</b>	<b>69,461</b>	<b>2,639,522</b>	<b>69,461</b>	<b>2,639,522</b>	<b>69,461</b>
<b>USES</b>										
Estimated Capital Needs	2,038,357	53,641	2,038,357	53,641	2,038,357	53,641	2,038,357	53,641	2,038,357	53,641
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Uses</b>	<b>2,038,357</b>	<b>53,641</b>	<b>2,038,357</b>	<b>53,641</b>	<b>2,038,357</b>	<b>53,641</b>	<b>2,038,357</b>	<b>53,641</b>	<b>2,038,357</b>	<b>53,641</b>
<b>YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE</b>	<b>46,652</b>	<b>1,228</b>	<b>1,268,034</b>	<b>33,369</b>	<b>601,165</b>	<b>15,820</b>	<b>601,165</b>	<b>15,820</b>	<b>601,165</b>	<b>15,820</b>

**Subsidy Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>OPERATING SUBSIDY</b>										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,491,230	39,243	1,491,230	39,243	1,491,230	39,243	1,491,230	39,243
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	1,183,074	31,134	95,449	2,512	15,195	400	18,663	491	18,663	491
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Operating Subsidy</b>	<b>1,183,074</b>	<b>31,134</b>	<b>1,586,679</b>	<b>41,755</b>	<b>1,506,425</b>	<b>39,643</b>	<b>1,509,892</b>	<b>39,734</b>	<b>1,509,892</b>	<b>39,734</b>
<b>CAPITAL SUBSIDY</b>										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	942,252	24,796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(285,433)	(7,511)	(239,079)	(6,292)	(244,066)	(6,423)	(244,012)	(6,421)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	3,395,960	89,367	3,192,810	84,021	2,627,914	69,156	1,163,613	30,621
<b>Total Capital Subsidy</b>	<b>942,252</b>	<b>24,796</b>	<b>3,110,527</b>	<b>81,856</b>	<b>2,953,731</b>	<b>77,730</b>	<b>2,383,848</b>	<b>62,733</b>	<b>919,601</b>	<b>24,200</b>
<b>TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED</b>	<b>2,125,326</b>	<b>55,930</b>	<b>4,697,206</b>	<b>123,611</b>	<b>4,460,156</b>	<b>117,373</b>	<b>3,893,741</b>	<b>102,467</b>	<b>2,429,493</b>	<b>63,934</b>