

CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Lincoln Park

Property Identification

Lincoln Park
PRESTON, CT

CHFA Property Identification #: 85152D

Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Elderly

This is a single, stand-alone property. As there are no other adjacent properties under common ownership, there are no opportunities for consolidation to achieve greater efficiencies of scale.

Total Current Unit Count: 40
Census Tract: 7001.00
Connecticut Congressional District: 2

Property Description

Tenancy Type: Elderly/Disabled
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)
Number of buildings: 11
Maximum # of Stories: 1
Elevator? None

Summary property description:

The Lincoln Park property has 28 efficiency or studio and 12 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of reasonably sized units. It features amenities such as common laundry, owner-provided air conditioning, and a common room.

Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs
(without market enhancements): \$ 2,089,665

Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 52,242

Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ (6,574)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative \$6,600 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$2.09 million (\$52,241 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Revenue Adjustments Prior to a Recapitalization Transaction

Lincoln Park, continued

Current average income relative to
the Area Median Income (AMI): 22%

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	235	16%
One-bedroom unit:	252	16%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:	235	16%
One-bedroom unit:	252	16%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction. Consequently, the Capital Plan does not recommend a specific revenue adjustment. The owner should note, however, that the rental income is not projected to meet the property's expenses over the next 20 years and may struggle to cover future capital needs. The owner may want to consider an adjustment in the property's base rent in order to avoid future budget problems.

Number of current households that would be
impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: n/a

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to
generate revenue equal to raising the base rent
as proposed: n/a

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy
over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: n/a

Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction

Lincoln Park, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	28	28
25-50% of AMI	12	12
50% of AMI or greater	0	0
Total number of units	40	40

Since the Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction, the analysis does not assume any changes to the property's income mix.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:	235	235
One-bedroom unit:	252	252
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: n/a

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on the adjusted base rent: n/a

Property used for market reference: Lincoln Park

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(1,238,690)	(1,875,286)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(3,233,454)	(4,548,658)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(2,758,869)	(4,336,939)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(2,007,581)	(3,563,032)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	(630,112)	(2,173,700)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions

Lincoln Park, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	Current	
Recommended Transaction Year	n/a	The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured (i.e., the current scenario described above), as this approach requires the least amount of subsidy from the State over time as compared to the other capital leverage transactions. In the absence of a consolidated recapitalization transaction, however, the property will need additional resources on a continuing basis.
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	843	The Capital Plan recommends that the property receive annual grants as needed to cover the gap between the property's capital need budget and the property's ability to pay those costs. These grants, identified as "Pre-Transaction Subsidy" (since no consolidated transaction is proposed), would total \$1,238,690 over the course of the next 20 years.
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-	At this time, the "Current Scenario" is the only approach which reasonably covers the property's capital needs given the low rental revenue of the property and the current programmatic assumptions. However, it is neither a sustainable nor an efficient strategy as it requires the State to have a much more active role in supervising both capital and operating budgets. This level of oversight would correspond to a higher degree of accountability by the owner to the State.
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	1,238,690	
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	The "Current Scenario" would also require the property to self-manage improvements as they become necessary - the budget does not anticipate the availability of a general contractor. In other words, the property management staff would bring in the specific tradespeople as necessary to repair or replace the components as they fail. Since this is consistent with current property management practices, this burden should be manageable for the owner.

Summary of Recommended Transaction

This property does not have a stable operating revenue and expense picture and is at-risk of experiencing long term structural operating deficits unless it is able to access significant operating subsidy. Under the Current scenario, the property yields negative \$6,574 in NOI in the current year, which includes \$843 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits, trending to negative \$50,022 fifteen years thereafter. The transaction results in a capital subsidy need of \$1,238,000 and \$635,000 in operating deficit subsidy, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. Given that the "Current Scenario" assumes a heavy dependence on state subsidy on an ongoing annual basis, any cash flow should presumably be escrowed to offset future subsidy need or to repay the state for prior subsidy payments.

Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs

Lincoln Park, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 0
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 513,054

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	513,054	478,429	-	-	-	-
2014	3,409	-	-	6,574	-	-
2015	145,142	79,750	-	8,801	-	-
2016	4,561	-	-	11,143	-	-
2017	34,919	-	-	13,603	-	-
2018	16,440	-	-	16,187	-	-
2019	8,789	-	-	18,899	-	-
2020	9,052	-	-	21,746	-	-
2021	64,922	-	-	24,731	-	-
2022	176,739	27,308	-	27,861	-	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	158,658	110,656	-	31,142	-	-
2024	186,093	136,170	-	34,579	-	-
2025	95,443	43,524	-	38,179	-	-
2026	84,643	30,647	-	41,949	-	-
2027	130,759	74,603	-	45,894	-	-
2028	237,065	178,663	-	50,022	-	-
2029	139,680	78,941	-	54,340	-	-
2030	10,054	-	-	58,856	-	-
2031	9,660	-	-	63,577	-	-
2032	60,584	-	-	68,512	-	-

Scenario Pro Formas

Lincoln Park, continued

Income and Expense Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
2023 ANNUAL INCOME										
Gross Potential Rent	178,509	4,462.72	271,926	6,798.15	271,926	6,798	271,926	6,798	271,926	6,798
Vacancy/Loss	-	-	-	-	(13,596)	(340)	(19,035)	(476)	(19,035)	(476)
Other Income	23,791	594.78	23,791	594.78	23,791	595	23,791	595	23,791	595
Effective Gross Income	202,300	5,057.50	295,717	7,392.93	282,121	7,053	276,682	6,917	276,682	6,917
2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES										
Operating Expenses	185,439	4,636	200,225	5,006	195,365	4,884	195,093	4,877	195,093	4,877
Replacement Reserve Deposits	48,003	1,200	48,003	1,200	19,926	498	19,926	498	19,926	498
Total Operating Expenses	233,442	5,836	248,228	6,206	215,291	5,382	215,019	5,375	215,019	5,375
2023 NET OPERATING INCOME	(31,142)	(779)	47,489	1,187	66,830	1,671	61,663	1,542	61,663	1,542
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	49,615	1,240	47,751	1,194	46,538	1,163
2023 CASH FLOW	(31,142)	(779)	47,489	1,187	17,215	430	13,912	348	15,125	378

Sources and Uses Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
SOURCES										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	863,365	21,584	706,500	17,662	809,819	20,245
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,182,200	29,555	1,182,200	29,555
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	22,665	567	36,665	917	36,665	917	36,665	917
Cash Escrows	-	-	34,625	866	34,625	866	34,625	866	34,625	866
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	188,659	4,716	197,271	4,932	196,467	4,912
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,212,421	30,311	2,485,228	62,131
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sources of Funds	-	-	57,290	1,432	1,123,314	28,083	3,369,683	84,242	4,745,005	118,625
USES										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	17,800	445	1,200,000	30,000	1,200,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	2,603,657	65,091	2,603,657	65,091	2,632,510	65,813	2,632,510	65,813
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	289,988	7,250	285,884	7,147	292,729	7,318	292,729	7,318
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	12,624	316	22,146	554	25,599	640	25,599	640
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	43,165	1,079	123,165	3,079	253,283	6,332	253,283	6,332
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	79,705	1,993	257,349	6,434	293,890	7,347	292,541	7,314
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	23,000	575	26,000	650	26,000	650	26,000	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	22,424	561	35,727	893	39,971	999	39,290	982
Reserves	-	-	-	-	38,807	970	120,103	3,003	121,996	3,050
Developer Fee	-	-	216,180	5,405	471,646	11,791	493,178	12,329	491,168	12,279
Total Uses of Funds	-	-	3,290,745	82,269	3,882,183	97,055	5,377,263	134,432	5,375,117	134,378
TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)	-	-	(3,233,454)	(80,836)	(2,758,869)	(68,972)	(2,007,581)	(50,190)	(630,112)	(15,753)

Scenario Pro Formas (continued)

Lincoln Park, continued

Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
FUNDS										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	2,009,368	50,234	2,009,368	50,234	2,009,368	50,234	2,009,368	50,234
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	1,238,690	30,967	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	34,625	866	34,625	866	34,625	866	34,625	866	34,625	866
Replacement Reserves	933,238	23,331	933,238	23,331	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685
Total Funds	2,206,553	55,164	2,977,231	74,431	2,431,390	60,785	2,431,390	60,785	2,431,390	60,785
USES										
Estimated Capital Needs	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242	2,089,665	52,242
YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE	116,888	2,922	887,565	22,189	341,725	8,543	341,725	8,543	341,725	8,543

Subsidy Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
OPERATING SUBSIDY										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	636,595	15,915	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Subsidy	636,595	15,915	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967	1,718,695	42,967
CAPITAL SUBSIDY										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	1,238,690	30,967	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(403,491)	(10,087)	(140,625)	(3,516)	(163,244)	(4,081)	(175,107)	(4,378)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	3,233,454	80,836	2,758,869	68,972	2,007,581	50,190	630,112	15,753
Total Capital Subsidy	1,238,690	30,967	2,829,963	70,749	2,618,244	65,456	1,844,337	46,108	455,005	11,375
TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED	1,875,286	46,882	4,548,658	113,716	4,336,939	108,423	3,563,032	89,076	2,173,700	54,342