CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Eldridge

Property Identification

Eldridge
MORRIS, CT

Total Current Unit Count:	20
Census Tract:	3031.00
Connecticut Congressional District:	5

CHFA Property Identification #: 92056D
Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Elderly

This is a single, stand-alone property. As there are no other adjacent properties under common ownership, there are no opportunities for consolidation to achieve greater efficiencies of scale.

Property Description

Tenancy Type:	Elderly/Disabled
Structure Type:	Multi Unit Pods
Number of buildings:	5
Maximum # of Stories:	1
Elevator?	None

Summary property description:
The Eldridge property has 20 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of reasonably sized units. It features amenities such as common laundry, semi-private patios, a community room, and meal services.

Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs (without market enhancements):	$ 889,617
Capital Needs per Unit:	$ 44,481
Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income:	$ (41,589)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative $41,600 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately $0.89 million ($44,480 per unit) over the next 20 years.

Current average income relative to the Area Median Income (AMI): 0%

In order for the property to operate in a sustainable manner into the foreseeable future, the property would benefit from greater revenues. This can happen in one of two ways - either the property could get operating subsidy from the state or federal government, or it could charge higher rents. A higher rent structure burdens low-income households to pay a greaterheir income for housing and it will require that the property serve tenants with modestly higher incomes.

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	166	10%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Currently, base rents are set by the owner of each property, often in consultation with CHFA staff. While there varying definitions of affordability, this study considers a rent which exceeds 30% of a household's adjusted gross income to be burdensome on the household's monthly budget. In the table to the left, the base rent is identified for each unit size. The table also identifies the minimum household income level for which the base rent would be considered "affordable." The household income level is presented as a percentage of the local Area Median Income.

There are strong reasons to keep the base rents low, as low base rents provide affordable housing options for the state's lowest income residents and reduce the burden of operating subsidies on the State budget. However, if the property's revenue stream (including any available operating subsidy and any cross-subsidy from higher income residents) does not cover the cost of actually operating the property, including the cost of ongoing maintenance and capital improvements, the property itself is at risk.

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	493	30%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

The Capital Plan is intended to identify the real estate needs of the State Sponsored Housing Portfolio. In order to ensure a minimum revenue stream and in order to implement programmatic consistency regarding base rent levels, this analysis assumes that all base rents are adjusted in 2014 to equal the greater of a) the current base rent or b) 30% of the adjusted gross income of a household at 30% of AMI for the applicable household size, provided these levels do not exceed the local market. This base rent adjustment would represent a significant increase for some households. The analysis identifies the number of households that would be affected by such a change and the amount of operating subsidy needed to protect these households. If the owners elect not to raise the base rents as assumed in this analysis, the property is more likely to experience tight operating budgets towards the end of the Capital Plan subsidy period and will be less able to access leverage funding such as private debt.

Number of current households that would be impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent:	20
Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to generate revenue equal to raising the base rent as proposed:	$ 78,360
Total rental operating subsidy necessary assuming a turnover-based leasing strategy:	$ 457,903

Protecting the 20 Elderly/Disabled Households at risk in the event of a base rent increase is clearly a major concern. In 2014, the base rent increase creates the need for operating subsidy of $78,360 to protect these households while generating the revenue equivalent to the proposed increase in the base rent.

This 2014 rental operating subsidy would recur annually, with inflation increases, for the next 20 years if the State determines that, as a policy matter, the property should continue serving households with an income profile equivalent to the current residents at the property. An alternative formulation assumes that, upon turnover, new residents would move in for whom the proposed base rent is affordable and tenant protection operating subsidies would no longer be necessary. This turnover strategy requires less operating subsidy from the State, but also reduces the number of units of housing available to the lowest income residents of the community. The total tenant protection operating subsidy associated with the increase in the base rent assuming that, on turnover, the units are leased to households able to pay the new base rent without assistance is $457,902.

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	20	20
25-50% of AMI	0	0
50% of AMI or greater	0	0
Total number of units	20	20

With the revenue generated by the increase in the base rent or the provision of an equivalent operating subsidy, the property should operate under a sustainable revenue picture for the foreseeable future. As a result, no additional revenue adjustments from income mixing are recommended in connection with the transaction.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	493	493
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: $ (0)

Transitional rental operating subsidy necessary to protect current residents and permit a five-year transition to income tier occupancy: $ (0)

Property used for market reference: Buckingham Terrace

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(644,269)	(1,805,251)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(1,594,867)	(1,888,130)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(1,596,125)	(1,985,453)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(1,326,022)	(1,713,652)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	(558,496)	(946,082)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions

Recommended Transaction Option:	Recoverable Grant
Recommended Transaction Year	2020
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	-
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	1,594,867

The capital plan recommends using the recoverable grant scenario to finance the capital needs at this property. Given the small size of the property, the transaction costs associated with any of the other financing structures outweigh the additional funds which could be achieved by leveraging FHA debt or low income housing tax credit equity.

This analysis has suggested a potential transaction year of 2020 based on a series of criteria outlined in the capital plan report. In short, the transaction year has been informed by the distribution of critical capital needs year-by-year at the property (i.e. roof, mechanical, structural components) and by the need to distribute the timing of capital transaction for properties within the State Sponsored Housing Portfolio over a period of years in order to manage scarce State-wide resources.

The property is able to cover its capital needs from current replacement reserves through the date of the capital transaction, so no interim State support is needed.

Summary of Recommended Transaction

Under the Recoverable Grant scenario, the property yields $31,229 in NOI in the transaction completion year, which includes $0 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits. The property generates $31,229 in cash flow in the capital transaction's completion year, trending to $17,516 fifteen years thereafter. Post-transaction, distribution of cash flow is governed by the terms of the transaction documents and, to the extent not restricted by the documents, could be used at the owner's discretion for ongoing capital needs, owner's working capital or the owner's other priorities. If there is a recoverable grant, repayment of the recoverable grant would be specified in the transaction documents as a priority use of the funds. The transaction does not support debt or equity leverage. The transaction results in a gap of $1,594,000, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. This compares to a needs gap of over $1,805,000 if no transaction takes place at the property and the capital needs are addressed through routine maintenance.

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs:	0
Current Deferred Capital Needs:	0
Current Routine Capital Needs:	128,682

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

		Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
Year	**Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)**	Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	**128,682**	-	-	-	-	-
2014	**26,576**	-	-	-	78,360	-
2015	**2,308**	-	-	-	71,934	-
2016	**54,064**	-	-	-	65,221	-
2017	**81,782**	-	-	-	58,209	(0)
2018	**3,044**	-	-	-	50,892	(0)
2019	**2,927**	-	-	-	43,258	(0)
2020	**1,077**	-	1,594,867	-	35,298	(0)
2021	**8,981**	-	-	-	27,003	(0)
2022	**211,689**	-	-	-	18,362	-

		Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
Year	**Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)**	Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	**42,644**	-	-	-	9,365	(0)
2024	**44,056**	-	-	-	-	-
2025	**4,884**	-	-	-	-	-
2026	**23,404**	-	-	-	-	-
2027	**48,554**	-	-	-	-	-
2028	**63,380**	-	-	-	-	-
2029	**18,568**	-	-	-	-	-
2030	**19,133**	-	-	-	-	-
2031	**8,744**	-	-	-	-	-
2032	**95,121**	-	-	-	-	-

Income and Expense Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
2023 ANNUAL INCOME	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**
Gross Potential Rent	49,536	2,476.80	146,967	7,348.34	146,967	7,348	146,967	7,348	146,967	7,348
Vacancy/Loss	(1,032)	(51.60)	(1,032)	(51.60)	(7,348)	(367)	(10,288)	(514)	(10,288)	(514)
Other Income	2,913	145.66	2,913	145.66	2,913	146	2,913	146	2,913	146
Effective Gross Income	**51,417**	**2,570.86**	**148,848**	**7,442.40**	**142,532**	**7,127**	**139,592**	**6,980**	**139,592**	**6,980**
2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES										
Operating Expenses	100,969	5,048	108,412	5,421	105,432	5,272	105,285	5,264	105,285	5,264
Replacement Reserve Deposits	10,288	514	10,288	514	9,963	498	9,963	498	9,963	498
Total Operating Expenses	**111,257**	**5,563**	**118,699**	**5,935**	**115,395**	**5,770**	**115,248**	**5,762**	**115,248**	**5,762**
2023 NET OPERATING INCOME	**(59,840)**	**(2,992)**	**30,149**	**1,507**	**27,137**	**1,357**	**24,344**	**1,217**	**24,344**	**1,217**
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	12,910	646	9,627	481	9,620	481
2023 CASH FLOW	**(59,840)**	**(2,992)**	**30,149**	**1,507**	**14,226**	**711**	**14,717**	**736**	**14,724**	**736**

Sources and Uses Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
SOURCES	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**	**Total**	**Per Unit**
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	224,657	11,233	76,473	3,824	167,404	8,370
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	600,000	30,000	600,000	30,000
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	7,928	396	14,928	746	14,928	746	14,928	746
Cash Escrows	-	-	66,652	3,333	65,940	3,297	65,940	3,297	65,940	3,297
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	97,947	4,897	104,083	5,204	103,698	5,185
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	638,122	31,906	1,316,346	65,817
Other	-		-		-		-		-	
Total Sources of Funds	**-**	-	**74,580**	3,729	**403,472**	20,174	**1,499,546**	74,977	**2,268,317**	113,416
USES										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	600,000	30,000	600,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	1,305,618	65,281	1,305,618	65,281	1,320,086	66,004	1,320,086	66,004
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	151,648	7,582	149,590	7,479	153,022	7,651	153,022	7,651
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	10,067	503	18,567	928	20,304	1,015	20,304	1,015
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	28,428	1,421	108,428	5,421	226,023	11,301	226,023	11,301
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	40,412	2,021	125,327	6,266	144,909	7,245	144,943	7,247
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	11,500	575	13,000	650	13,000	650	13,000	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	12,103	605	20,746	1,037	24,412	1,221	24,087	1,204
Reserves	-	-	-	-	13,455	673	63,605	3,180	66,101	3,305
Developer Fee	-	-	109,672	5,484	244,867	12,243	260,206	13,010	259,246	12,962
Total Uses of Funds	**-**	-	**1,669,447**	83,472	**1,999,597**	99,980	**2,825,569**	141,278	**2,826,813**	141,341
TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)	**-**	-	**(1,594,867)**	(79,743)	**(1,596,125)**	(79,806)	**(1,326,022)**	(66,301)	**(558,496)**	(27,925)

Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
FUNDS										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	1,007,608	50,380	1,007,608	50,380	1,007,608	50,380	1,007,608	50,380
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	644,269	32,213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	45,339	2,267	45,339	2,267	45,339	2,267	45,339	2,267	45,339	2,267
Replacement Reserves	200,010	10,000	200,010	10,000	193,699	9,685	193,699	9,685	193,699	9,685
Total Funds	**889,617**	**44,481**	**1,252,957**	**62,648**	**1,246,646**	**62,332**	**1,246,646**	**62,332**	**1,246,646**	**62,332**
USES										
Estimated Capital Needs	889,617	44,481	889,617	44,481	889,617	44,481	889,617	44,481	889,617	44,481
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses	**889,617**	**44,481**	**889,617**	**44,481**	**889,617**	**44,481**	**889,617**	**44,481**	**889,617**	**44,481**
YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE	**-**	**-**	**363,340**	**18,167**	**357,029**	**17,851**	**357,029**	**17,851**	**357,029**	**17,851**

Subsidy Analysis

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
OPERATING SUBSIDY										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	457,903	22,895	457,903	22,895	457,903	22,895	457,903	22,895
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	1,160,983	58,049	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Total Operating Subsidy	**1,160,983**	**58,049**	**457,903**	**22,895**	**457,903**	**22,895**	**457,903**	**22,895**	**457,903**	**22,895**
CAPITAL SUBSIDY										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	644,269	32,213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(164,639)	(8,232)	(68,575)	(3,429)	(70,273)	(3,514)	(70,317)	(3,516)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,594,867	79,743	1,596,125	79,806	1,326,022	66,301	558,496	27,925
Total Capital Subsidy	**644,269**	**32,213**	**1,430,227**	**71,511**	**1,527,550**	**76,378**	**1,255,749**	**62,787**	**488,180**	**24,409**
TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED	**1,805,251**	**90,263**	**1,888,130**	**94,407**	**1,985,453**	**99,273**	**1,713,652**	**85,683**	**946,082**	**47,304**