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I. Strategic Capital Analysis for CHFA
1. Purpose

To Support CHFA’s Current Strategic Planning Effort

This Strategic Capital Analysis is designed to:

• Identify resources to support plan priorities
• Create ongoing transparency on how resources are being used
• Provide funds for new programs while being financially sustainable
• Outline mechanisms and approaches that can do both in a world of uncertainty

What is a Strategic Capital Analysis?

• CHFA’s current and future capital requirements,
• How they are affected by risk,
• Availability of capital to meet CHFA’s needs, and
• Most critically, future financial flexibility, to respond to challenges and needs

What the Analysis can provide

• Clear understanding – both internally and externally – of how CHFA’s components and programs affect each other financially
• Ongoing financial framework and systematic criteria for evaluating decisions
1. **Purpose**

**Why is a detailed capital analysis especially useful for state HFAs?**

1. Focus is inevitably on day-to-day actions
2. Decisions are usually considered individually and incrementally
3. HFAs such as CHFA are increasingly complex
   - multiple programs
   - much more complex financings
   - more dynamic financially
   - multiple lines of business
   - many types of risk
4. Financial statements and rating agency evaluations are helpful but static and look backwards

**Result:** much more difficult for almost all HFAs to see how programs and finances are inter-connected

Need an approach which is: comprehensive, systematic, both backward and forward looking, adjusts for risks, provides sensitivity analysis under different conditions, focuses on how programs and choices affect each other
1. **Purpose**

**How have state HFAs used such studies?**

Agencies such as Colorado, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New York and Virginia have used them to:

1. inform new multi-year strategic plans
2. provide a tool for analyzing major decisions
3. help inform stakeholders of what an HFA can, and can’t, sustainably do
4. provide a framework for annual budgeting, financing decisions and investments for strategic priorities

**Help inform discussions of fundamental Board and staff questions**

1. Where is the agency heading financially?
2. What are the major risks?
3. What choices do we have as an agency?
4. Especially, how do choices now affect what we will be able to do 3 to 5 years from now?

**Why especially useful for CHFA**

1. Close relationship with the State and State programs and resources
2. Virtually all resources are managed under a single complex bond resolution which makes clarity difficult about choices available to board and staff
2. **Approach**

**Systematic analysis of future financial flexibility:**

1. **Create clear financial framework of CHFA as a whole**
   - How the components and multiple lines of business affect each other

2. **Analyze current finances and recent trends**
   - 2019 audited financials and annualized 2020 based on 1st 6 months (recognizing potential impacts of COVID-19)
   - Cash basis: excludes accounting adjustments that are in financial statements

3. **Adjust for risks:**
   - Both rating agency and management assumptions

4. **Project income, assets, liabilities through 1/1/24 (“study period”) as basis for strategic planning** (initially without assuming major changes in CHFA programs or allocation of resources)

5. **Analyze under ‘study’ scenarios and rating agency stress tests** at different interest rates and prepayment speeds to provide:
   - Projected net worth minus rating agency risks = ‘risk-adjusted capital’
   - How much of risk-adjusted capital is projected to be needed for future financial sustainability and rating agency minimum requirements
   - Range of expected level of annual income
   - Basis for determining how much can be invested in strategic priorities

6. **Focus on future annual net income**
### 2. Approach

#### 3 Scenarios for sensitivity testing

**Table 1. Key Assumptions for Scenarios**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Recent</th>
<th>Low Rates</th>
<th>Higher Rates</th>
<th>Higher Rates Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIBOR/equivalent index projected rate by 1/1/24</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Production</td>
<td>$525 m.*</td>
<td>$500 m.</td>
<td>$500 m.</td>
<td>$720 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Housing Bonds</td>
<td>525 m.*</td>
<td>$500 m.</td>
<td>500 m.</td>
<td>720 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax-exempt spread on bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80%</td>
<td>0.80%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servicer paid on MBS loans</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHFA payment to lender</td>
<td>0.56 pt.</td>
<td>0.56 pt.</td>
<td>0.56 pt.</td>
<td>1.00 pt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Production w. bonds</td>
<td>$115 m.</td>
<td>$115 m.</td>
<td>115 m.</td>
<td>75 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax-exempt spread on bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinvestment of ITA in new loans at interest rate of 3.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF prepayments permitted</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan losses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 related (combined 2021 &amp; 2022)</td>
<td>1.2 m***</td>
<td>3 m.</td>
<td>3 m.</td>
<td>6 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF: annual on non-FHA whole loans</td>
<td>.1% to .4%**</td>
<td>.31%</td>
<td>.31%</td>
<td>.625%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF: annual bond loan losses</td>
<td>.2 to 13.2 m**</td>
<td>1 m.</td>
<td>1 m.</td>
<td>2 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF: annual ITA loan losses</td>
<td>.3 to 2.3 m.**</td>
<td>1 m.</td>
<td>1 m.</td>
<td>3.5 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHFA fee income (loan fees, tax credit fees, etc.)</td>
<td>6.4 to 9.5 m.**</td>
<td>7.4 m.</td>
<td>7.4 m.</td>
<td>5.2 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Budget for 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual increase thereafter</td>
<td>0% 17-19</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2019 **Range from 2017 – 2019 ***2020
II. Financial Framework
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

Central Question: How can CHFA build its overall sustainability?

- The ability to do more 3 years from now rather than less.
- Links the agency’s financial capacity and what it can do programmatically

To address this, the study looked at:

- how CHFA’s resources, constraints, risks, and overall financial flexibility have changed, and are likely to change over time, and
- how these compare to other HFAs with similar size balance sheets

A. CHFA has Significant Financial Strengths

- Net worth of approx. $1 billion
- Aaa ratings by both Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s
- Positive net cash income, generally between $15 – 20 mill. in recent years
- Modest real estate risk exposure, esp. from shifting to mortgage-backed securities for single-family production in 2012
- Adequate risk-adjusted capital, as measured by rating agencies, of approx. $400 m. (Moody’s) to $460 m. (S&P) given CHFA’s total liabilities
- Large non-bond portfolio (broadly defined Investment Trust Account, incl. ITA itself, DECD, and Prime) provides about $15 mill. of net income each year. This is a key resource of CHFA
- Unlike many HFAs, CHFA has continued to maintain and expand its balance sheet over the last 5 years, thus strengthening its future ongoing annual income
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

B. CHFA has a Capital Structure, however, which makes it difficult for board and staff to understand and pro-actively invest its resources

1. This is largely due to the nature of its Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bond Resolution (‘General Resolution’):
   - contains almost all CHFA’s assets and liabilities
   - unusually among HFAs, CHFA does not have a separate general fund with its own resources, budget and issuer credit rating
   - because the Resolution has a backstop pledge from the State to replenish its debt service reserve, it has legal restrictions on withdrawing funds
     - given the strength of the resolution, the backstop pledge est. in 1972 is not financially necessary or ever expected to be drawn on
     - however, these restrictions, limit withdrawals to a single period each December

2. But beyond the legal limitations, a series of practices going back many decades:
   - has made it difficult to clearly see:
     - the choices CHFA has,
     - what monies can be pro-actively invested in new programs,
     - the financial impacts of particular decisions
   - has effectively used the agency’s net income to pay down bonds early,
     - thus building up the agency’s future net worth
     - but making it hard to make other choices today
Existing Capital Structure

- Other Resl'ns SF NIBP, MF NIBP, QECB
- Resolution Bond Assets & Liabilities
  - Principal
  - Redeem Bonds
  - Interest
  - Pay bond int.
  - Issuance Cost & New SRP
  - Use surplus to redeem bonds
- Operating Budget
  - Fee income
- Recoveries of Principal Account
  - Principal
  - Interest
- Resolution Interest Account
  - Principal
  - Interest
- ITA, DECD, Prime Assets in Resolution
  - Pay bond int.
- DAP Account
  - Invest & Recycle
Proposed Capital Structure

Sustainable Core

- Other Resl’ns: SF NIBP, MF NIBP, QE CB
- General Resol’n Bond Assets & Liabilities
- Operating Budget
- Fee income
- Net interest earnings
- Interest
- Principal
- Redeem bonds
- Pay bond interest
- Issuance Cost & New SRP
- Pay Deficit
- If needed

Recoveries of Principal Account

- Principal
- Redeem bonds

Surplus Account Principal

- Principal
- Redeem on 12/1

Resolution Interest Account

- Interest
- Pay interest

Residual

- Transfer 50%

Surplus Account Interest sub-account

- Transfer 50%

ITA, DECD, Prime Assets in Resolution

DAP Account

Opportunity Fund

Invest & Recycle

Invest & Recycle
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

C. Most important recommendation is thus to clarify the Capital Structure

1. Principal received on ITA loans would be redeposited to the ITA, instead of automatically being used to call bonds.

   Amount: In 2019, would have provided approx. $10 m. back into the ITA

   Purpose: To fund new loans that meet CHFA’s mission, are interest-bearing and amortizing, and are reasonable investments receiving at least partial rating agency credit (examples: new permanent multi-family loans on 9% projects, CHFA-funded downpayment assistance loans, possible new program of home improvement loans to low and moderate income homeowners)

   The key is to maintain the overall credit quality of the ITA

   Timing: Under Resolution requirements, these payments must be held in a surplus account until Dec. 1, in case needed for bond debt service, and can then revert to ITA

   Lending plan for following year can outline proposed uses of reinvested funds
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

C. Clarify the Capital Structure (cont.)

2. Income from CHFA’s other resolutions (currently quite small, about $1 mill. annually) would help pay operating costs, and thus increase net available to be split between ITA and Opportunity Fund

3. Residual in General Resolution interest account, e.g. CHFA’s net annual income, would go into an interest surplus account, and on December 1,

   - **half would go back into the ITA account:**
     to help maintain and build CHFA’s net worth while making new Agency ITA loans

   - **half would go into a newly established “Opportunity Fund”** to make gap-type loans and interest subsidies – and would not be relied on by the rating agencies

This 50-50 approach, successfully used by MassHousing since 2013, creates a win-win: synergistically enhances and supports regular lending program and new initiatives

Projected amounts for 2020: approx. $8 mill. for ITA and $8 mill. for Opportunity Fund
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

D. Advantages of Proposed Capital Structure

- Fully consistent with the existing bond documents,
- Consistent with CHFA’s bond ratings,
- Makes it easier to separate out available resources from complex bond cash flows.

Will make it easier:
- For Board, staff and stakeholders to understand CHFA’s available resources,
- For CFO to provide choices to the Board, including proposed $ and uses of Opportunity Fund and new ITA resources for each year’s Lending Plan,
- To pro-actively address housing challenges in the state, despite modest private activity bond volume cap (PAB) and potential reductions in the State’s own funding, while maintaining CHFA’s long-term sustainability

E. Sustainable Core

To distinguish Opportunity Fund from amounts counted on by rating agencies for paying bondholders, define CHFA’s “sustainable core”:
- General Resolution bond assets
- ITA assets in the General Resolution
- other resolutions (currently very minor)

The Opportunity Fund, like the state revolving DAP fund or any other state monies administered by CHFA, are outside the sustainable core
3. Clarifying CHFA’s Capital Structure

G. Task of the Strategic Capital Analysis

By the CFO, CEO and ourselves working closely together to make the capital structure more transparent and effective, has made it possible to then work collaboratively to:

- Outline new and more dynamic ways to leverage CHFA’s resources
- Utilize the General Resolution’s assets and constraints, and create tools outside it that have been successfully used by other HFAs
- Address both specific Strategic Priorities and the broader Priorities to
  - Partner with Others
  - Go beyond business as usual in ways that are financially sustainable long-term
- Manage risk
- Propose approaches that would maintain and increase annual income for both the
  - Opportunity Fund and
  - CHFA’s financial capacity
- Create an action plan of recommendations and criteria checklist for major decisions
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Aaa Ratings on General Resolution

Not a constraint possible to reduce, given Resolution legal restrictions on pledged assets, but:

• Clarified capital structure allows CHFA to expand its program and financing choices
• Rating enables CHFA to finance programs at very low cost,
• Resolution’s rating should therefore be used as a tool for achieving CHFA’s objectives, while creating other financing mechanisms as needed

Although Resolution is additionally secured by pledge from the State, CHFA’s rating is now significantly higher than the State (Aaa v. A1/A) and not based on State

The high rating should not be relied on as proof of what CHFA’s will be, or how will it be rated, in the future – since rating agencies only look at existing production

Have therefore tested 3 additional years of production and then applied rating agencies’ stress cases: cash flow results are generally quite strong
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

**Balance Sheet Growth**

Unlike many state HFA’s which have been selling most or all of their single-family MBS production, CHFA has been financing such production on balance sheet.

*Enabled CHFA to maintain and significantly grow its balance sheet, and expected to continue*

*Fig. CHFA General Resolution FYE 2016 – Proj. 2023 with Low Rates and Proposed Capital Structure (in $ mill.)*
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Net Worth

CHFA’s overall net worth – its total assets less its liabilities – of approx. $960 mill. at FYE 19 is pledged to protect bondholders and restricted by Resolution. Maintaining it is important to financial sustainability and the Agency’s operations, since it provides:

1. The ongoing stream of net interest earnings that CHFA, like most HFAs, relies on to cover bulk of its approx. $31 mill. annual operating budget.

2. Resources to maintain the agency and its operations if future production were significantly curtailed – such as Congress almost ending tax-exemption for single-family and multi-family bonds in December 2017. Cash flows rely on net worth to pay CHFA’s future operating costs.

3. CHFA’s ability to cover potential adverse events, such as:
   - loan losses on single-family whole loans during the Great Recession;
   - losses on multi-family projects such as $24.5 m. loss on Temple St. in Hartford in 2018;
   - any net losses CHFA may suffer as a result of COVID-19; and
   - impacts of changes in interest rates on CHFA’s income.

More important than the net worth per se, therefore, is risk-adjusted net worth and how CHFA manages risks.
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Rating Agency Risk-Adjusted Net Worth

Both S&P and Moody’s assume losses in a Depression-era scenario, calculating “haircuts” against each type of assets and deduct those from CHFA’s net worth.

Vary significantly and include peculiarities, but measures that CHFA needs to be aware of and monitor

1. CHFA’s current level of rating agency risk-adjusted net worth is generally seen as adequate for its balance sheet and operations,

2. Given the overall size of the agency and its balance sheet, it is important for CHFA to at least maintain and/or grow – and not reduce – its rating agency risk-adjusted net worth

3. As risks are reduced, CHFA has room to take on additional reasonable risks in expanded or new lending programs

Fig. 2. Risk-Adjusted Net Worth
FYE 19 ($ mill.)
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Tools for Maintaining Rating Agency Risk-Adjusted Net Worth

1. **MBS Outside General Resolution.** Wherever not more costly to do so, to avoid S&P haircuts, fund new single-family MBS in its own new resolution

2. **FHA Risk-Share.** For new multi-family first mortgage loans above $3 mill, consider using FHA risk-share insurance
   - very inexpensive: 0.125% covers 50% of net loss, providing real protection for CHFA
   - CHFA’s own underwriting standards generally conform to FHA requirements
   - risk-share underwriting can help CHFA streamline & standardize its own procedures, (and simplify for loans below $3 mill.)
   - recently, and potentially again in future, Federal Financing Bank provided extremely low-cost financing for risk-share loans enabling many HFAs to earn greater spreads & lower rates
   - since risk-share during construction triggers Davis-Bacon wage requirements, it is more often used for permanent lending unless a project is already subject to Davis-Bacon

3. **Risk Budget.** In each annual Lending Plan, starting for 2022, include a simple 1-page risk budget, that allocates risk measured by rating agency (Colorado uses Moody’s) for lending in Sustainable Core, showing:
   - increase in the agency’s overall net worth during the prior year
   - reduction in risk as old assets have paid down
   - Moody’s adjustments on proposed new lending

   This allows CHFA to maintain (or grow) its risk-adjusted capital while taking on new risks
### 4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

#### Table 1. Illustrative Risk Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation of risk capacity for FY ___ (illustrative)</th>
<th>Lending Amount</th>
<th>Risk capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in net worth during last FY, est.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in financing risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in real estate risk due to existing loan paydowns</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal: change in available risk capacity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$19.0 million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses of risk capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real estate risk on new loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA risk-share 50-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other multi-family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single-family second mortgages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtotal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected change in risk-adjusted net worth</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Assessing CHFA’s Risks: Assets

Going beyond rating agency assessments:
CHFA has far less actual risk today than it did during the financial crisis, by having shifted from whole loans to mortgage-backed securities

CHFA’s major other loan risks are in its multi-family portfolio (including 1st mortgages backed by bonds and in the ITA, and riskier soft & residual mortgages acquired from DOH’s portfolio)

Fig. 3. Reduced Asset Risk in General Resolution
FYE 16 to FYE 19 ($ mill.)
Assessing CHFA’s Risks: Liabilities

CHFA’s overall long-term variable rate debt of $1.4 bill., about 32% of its total long-term debt, is reasonable for its balance sheet.

CHFA has an extraordinary opportunity to lock in historically low swap rate on $150 mill. of long-term unhedged variable rate debt

Variable rate bonds, held by money market funds, change rates weekly and are currently about 0.15%, but were about 2.5% in 2018 and 5% in the late 2000’s

Most of CHFA’s variable rate bonds have an interest rate swap in case rates go higher, but approx. $300 mill. of its long-term variable rate debt is unhedged.

This is useful, since:
- It benefits CHFA in low-rate environments when CHFA’s income, like most HFAs is lower (since loans prepay more quickly and CHFA earns less on its short-term investments)
- CHFA’s long-term cash provides a natural ‘hedge’ for some variable rate debt
- CHFA has done very well recently as short-term rates have dropped to historic levels

To protect itself, CHFA should limit unhedged bonds to the amount offset by its ongoing cash.
- Since CHFA has fixed rate loans to its borrowers, this will protect its future spread and net annual income if and when short-term rates rise from current very low levels
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Assessing CHFA’s Risks: Liabilities (cont.)

Not using unhedged variable rate debt to lower the rates CHFA offers to homeowners has important implications for CHFA’s homeownership strategy

- CHFA has been offering extremely low mortgage rates – the lowest among our HFA clients – by reducing its expected spread far below what IRS allows and by using unhedged bonds

- In the long run this reduces CHFA’s sustainability

- We have therefore proposed a homeownership strategy drawing on CHFA’s other tools, by expanding downpayment assistance and a mix of financing methods
4. Ratings, Balance Sheet and Risk

Assessing Risks: Liquidity

CHFA generally has:
- Sufficient cash liquidity for its regular operations, through cash in the General Resolution
- $100 million warehousing facility for its single-family loan pipeline before bonds are issued

**Liquidity.** Prudent, however, to obtain a bank line of credit for approx. $50 million that can be drawn on if CHFA ever needs additional cash
- Useful during emergencies, such as COVID-19, or for other purposes, since cash in the General Resolution is generally needed for upcoming debt service
- Given CHFA’s AAA rating it should be relatively easy and low-cost to obtain such a line, similar to those used by Colorado or MassHousing

**Warehousing.** Although not a risk, CHFA may increase its income by a combination of:
- Short-term cash in the General Resolution and/or ITA
- A drawdown warehousing line, so CHFA only pays interest to the extent it actually is investing in and earning the loan rate on loans in its pipeline.
- This combined approach has helped several agencies such as Colorado and Massachusetts increase their income
5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

Importance of Future Net Annual Income

Driving factor determining what CHFA will be able to do, the programs it can offer, what it can contribute to the Opportunity Fund and reinvest in its future sustainability

Recent trends show that:

- CHFA has generally made between $16 to $20 mill. per year after paying its operating budget and investing in new production (costs of new bond issues and servicing release premiums)
- The exception in 2018 was due to multi-family loan losses, esp. Temple Street
- Future pressures from increasing pension obligations and reduced Section 8 contract income as HUD transfers projects to PBCA vendor
- ITA is the key to CHFA’s income, generating close to $15 mill. of net income per year

Table 2. Net Annual Income by Source FYE 17-20 ($ mill.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Annualized 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Resolution:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeownership</td>
<td>-3.940</td>
<td>-9.029</td>
<td>-0.406</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family, excluding ITA</td>
<td>4.945</td>
<td>-11.922</td>
<td>4.543</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>12.550</td>
<td>12.363</td>
<td>14.291</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtotal</td>
<td>15.359</td>
<td>-8.587</td>
<td>18.428</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other CHFA Resolutions</strong></td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>16.639</td>
<td>-7.566</td>
<td>19.471</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

Projected Net Annual Income

Fig. 4. Projected Net Income: FY 17 – FY 23 ($ mill.)
5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

Types of income

Importance of distinguishing 3 different types of HFA activities:

1. **Ongoing income from past activities**  
   - interest earnings, spread, servicing, losses on old loans
2. **Current year activities**  
   - fee income (tax credit fees, loan fees, etc.), operating expenses
3. **Investment in future ongoing income**  
   - issuing bonds, acquiring servicing

Key to future stability is building **ongoing income from past activities**

- Assures income in each future years (about $45 mill. per year)
- Covers deficit in current year activities (approx. $20 million per year)
- Maintains net worth and financial flexibility
- Enables future contributions to Opportunity Fund
- Helps CHFA successfully weather future recessions and other risks
- Requires **continuing to invest in future income**
5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

Fig. 5. Historic Income by Type of Activity: FY 17 – FY 20

- Ongoing Income From Past Activities
- Combined Net Income
- Investment In Future Income
- Current Year Income

Source: Staff Framework Analysis.
5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

Table 3. Projected Deposits to Opportunity Fund
based on 50% of Net Annual Income ($ mill.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>FY 20</th>
<th>FY 21</th>
<th>FY 22</th>
<th>FY 23</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Income</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% to ITA</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% for Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Income</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% to ITA</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% for Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Rates Stress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Income</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% to ITA</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% for Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: cfX projections for FY 21-23, with clarified capital structure and multi-family prepayments at average 25 years, plus income from other resolutions
5. **Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability**

*Fig. 6 Projected Cumulative Deposits into ITA and Opportunity Fund from Net Income* ($ mill.)

Source: cfX management scenario projections for FY 21-23, with clarified capital structure and multi-family prepayments at average 25 years, plus income from other resolutions.
## 5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

### Actions to Maintain and Increase Annual Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Ways of Building Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Year Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Income</td>
<td>Helps offset operating budget</td>
<td>Explore Performance-Based Contract Administration for HUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing income</td>
<td>Can provide significant income</td>
<td>Use Resolution short-term funds for loan warehousing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expenses</td>
<td>Ongoing costs help determine net annual income</td>
<td>Maintain continuing disciplined cost approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for TBA sales</td>
<td>High premiums in current market</td>
<td>Regularly compare net present value of TBA v. bond sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing Income from Past Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhedged variable rate debt</td>
<td>Rise in rates of say 200 bp would reduce net income by $3 mill.</td>
<td>Lock in interest rate swaps on $150 m. not offset by CHFA’s long-term cash balances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of calling bonds</td>
<td>Sometimes delayed until next bond sale, while reinvesting at lower rates</td>
<td>Obtain a revolving recycling line of credit to retain volume cap monthly if needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand ITA lending</td>
<td>Important to reinvest principal and 50% of CHFA net income</td>
<td>CHFA-funded downpayment assistance loans to supplement DAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New single-family lending</td>
<td>Limited spreads on past lending</td>
<td>Expand multi-family lending such as for 9% tax credit projects Home improvement loan program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compete for borrowers based on down payment assistance rather than solely on low rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Explore alternatives with lower long-term cost of funds and that do not use PAB: such as interest-only strip executions (currently about 60 bp lower cost than bonds)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5. Net Annual Income as Key to Sustainability

**Actions to Maintain and Increase Annual Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Ways of Building Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments in Future Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of issuance for single-family bonds</td>
<td>Currently about $5 to 6 m. per year</td>
<td>Need higher spread on bond-financed loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service release fees</td>
<td>May need to increase origination fees to lenders (potentially $2 m per year)</td>
<td>Compare alternative executions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could also create zero point loan option for borrowers (potentially $5 m. per year)</td>
<td>Need to assure adequate return, either as spread or sale price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May require increasing loan rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Need to be repaid through higher loan rate option (typically about 20 – 25 bp per point)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Putting the Framework to Use
6. Homeownership Strategy

**CHFA Strengths**

- Mortgage-backed securities platform that:
  - eliminates financial risk to the agency
  - can be used for TBA sales and Interest-Only transactions as well as bonds, and
  - can be very efficiently hedged to protect against changes in rates
- Revolving downpayment assistance fund, financed by the state
- Strong lending pipeline
- Modest prepayment speeds that help keep loans outstanding longer
- 3rd party servicer at a low-cost fixed servicing fee (now up for re-bidding)
- Aaa-rated resolution with efficient pricing on tax-exempt and taxable bonds, and extensive experience with variable rate bonds and swaps

**Challenges**

- Modest total PAB, approx. $225 m. plus unused $ from other agencies, with multi-family priority
- Downpayment assistance per borrower significantly cut in 2018 to avoid depleting State funds
- Total lender compensation is low compared to other HFAs and non-HFA lending
- In competing on loan rate, CHFA has greatly limited the spread it earns (and used unhedged variable rate debt),
  - making it difficult to achieve adequate return on costs of issuance
  - stretching volume cap with taxable debt puts further pressure on spread
6. Homeownership Strategy

Approach

1. **Provide significantly more downpayment assistance per borrower**
   
   **Amount per Borrower:**
   
   - Cover both downpayment and closing costs
   - Max. combined loan-to-value of 105% permitted by secondary market
   - Min. cash contribution from borrower of $1,000
   - No asset test
   - Max. of $20,000 (avg. est. at $15,000)

   **Sources:**
   
   - State DAP fund has been replenished with prepayments; its current $33 mill. could fund these higher amounts for 3+ years
   - Even more important, the ITA could fund such loans, as an attractive investment (such as Minnesota’s Monthly Payment Loans)
   - Thus CHFA does not have to worry about limiting the amount available

   **Resubordination:**
   
   - Not permit when refinancing CHFA’s first mortgage
   - Encourages prepayment of 1st mortgage, lowering CHFA’s annual income,
   - Delays for years or decades replenishment of DAP
   - Helps old borrowers at the cost of CHFA not being able to help new homebuyers
6. Homeownership Strategy

Approach (cont.)

2. **Set loan rates**, if issuing bonds, to provide equivalent spread of 1.125%, but at least 1.0%, without taking on future unhedged rate risk

3. **Take advantage of CHFA’s MBS platform** to fund loans not only through bonds but by
   - selling loans on a TBA basis at significant cash premiums,
   - selling loans and buying back interest-only strips, enabling CHFA to earn the ongoing spread approx. 60 basis points more than on tax-exempt bonds in recent month with almost no costs of issuance, and without using any PAB
   - continuing to issue bonds selectively, to take advantage of refunding opportunities, recycle past bond authority and preserve zero participation interest rate subsidies
   - regularly compare these approaches based on net present value to CHFA, impact on current and future ongoing income, and leveraging limited PAB

5. **Establish a new more efficient Aaa-rated homeownership resolution**

6. **Protect against market rates rising** after making loan reservations by hedging pipeline

7. **Streamline loan delivery timetable** to help reduce rate risk. This can include differential lender fees for faster delivery

8. **May need to consider increasing net origination fees** paid to lenders, to maintain and expand pipeline, and incentivize faster loan and document delivery. Would be funded through modestly higher loan rates and additional income from TBA sales

9. **Create home improvement loan program**, from ITA with 10% guarantee from Opp’y Fund
7. Multifamily Strategy

CHFA Strengths

- Long-track record of multi-family lending both with bonds and its ITA
- Well-performing portfolio of approx. $1.4 billion
- Priority for using PAB for 4% tax credit projects
- New clear and highly attractive loan rates in the 3.50 to 3.75 range
- Serves as allocator of 9% tax credits and allocates $10 mill. per year of state tax credits for non-profits
- Direct partnership with state DOH for gap funds
- Joint developer engagement process with DOH generating over 140 requests and feedback
- Applied for $4 mill. of Treasury Capital Magnet Fund to match with CHFA’s own funds

Challenges

- Conflict over long-standing policy of generally not allowing borrowers to prepay
- Perception as not user-friendly multi-family lender: reviews, procedures, asset management
- Most of CHFA’s 4% as well as 9% tax credit projects require deep subsidies, but
  - DOH has increasingly limited funds available for such subsidies
  - CHFA has done relatively little lending in suburban communities crucial to housing choice
  - CHFA’s QAP requirements for 4% projects makes it difficult for projects which would not need deep subsidies but would increase housing choice
- Increasing expectations for CHFA to be more active in multi-family lending, reflecting limited state funds, new strategic plan and developer engagement process
7. Multifamily Strategy

Approach

1. **Allow prepayments** after 15 years, with 1 point premium if refinancing with CHFA or after 20 years, with 1 point premium,
   - Most other HFAs permit prepayments after 10-15 years
   - In practice, many borrowers still keep their loans outstanding
   - MassHousing’s recent reduction of lock-out period has successfully encouraged refinancing
   - Importantly, prepayment does **not limit** continued enforcement of the agency’s 40 year regulatory restrictions. Compliance and monitoring still continue

   Will also help:
   - CHFA be seen as more user-friendly for both past and future borrowers
   - Avoid Board having to decide on ad hoc requests, empower staff, be clearer with borrowers
   - Distinguish and separate CHFA’s roles – as lender concerned with its security and as compliance agent – from borrower’s direct responsibility for the project, which can strengthen asset management

2. **Amend QAP to allow 4% tax credit loans without requiring deeper affordability than IRS**
   - CHFA already requires 40 years of affordability restrictions
   - Uses PAB for multi-family priority and increases housing choice

3. **Set loan rates to provide a spread of at least 1%** (0.85% if FHA risk-share reduces CHFA’s risk), with similar rates on ITA loans, and issue multi-family bonds 2x per year to reduce rate risk after locking borrower’s rate

4. **View ITA as powerful resource for new 1st mortgages**: as take-out for 4% tax credit projects, and loans on 9% and other projects
7. Multifamily Strategy

Approach (cont.)

5. **Become FHA risk-share lender**, protecting risk-adjusted net worth and cutting CHFA’s exposure by 50%, and provide way to standardize loan underwriting

6. **Use Opportunity Fund to:**
   a. **Buy down the interest rate on selected bond and ITA loans**
      Colorado uses its ‘affordability fund’ to buy down rate by 50 basis points (at a cost of 5 cents per $, $50,000 on a $1 million loan). This simple, inexpensive rate subsidy helped Colorado become permanent lender on 3 / 4 of 9% tax credit projects. Helps projects that otherwise do not need deep subsidies (and might become costlier or slower if used)
   b. **Enable ITA to lock-in rates 2 years in advance for permanent take-out**
      Minnesota offers permanent loan rates at 50 bp above its current lending rate. If rate environment then is even higher, small amount of Opportunity Fund covers up to another 50 bp higher effective yield on ITA’s own funds
   c. **Directly provide modest gap loans**
      Often $250,000 - 500,000 (not to exceed $1 mill.) to help borrowers cover costs of using CHFA’s bond and ITA loans.

7. **Explore with local housing authorities the use of project-based vouchers** to finance high-quality units for lower income tenants where market rents are above tax credit rents. This can provide additional supportable debt service and reduce the need for other subsidies, while increasing diversity of housing opportunities.

8. **Provide a portion of unused state volume cap to be used by CHFA for conduit multi-family financings.** Up to $40 million of volume cap not used by other agencies could be carried forward as multi-family and used by CHFA for conduit transactions, with fees that maintain CHFA’s sustainability
## 8. Addressing CHFA’s Strategic Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Priorities</th>
<th>Capital Analysis Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. Partner with Others** | Allow eligible multi-family prepayments  
Explore opportunities for pairing multi-family loans with project-based vouchers through local housing authorities  
Match Helping to House New England grant with $1 million from ITA  
Use Opportunity Fund to secure small gap loans to help borrowers use CHFA bond and ITA multi-family loans  
Partner with FHA risk-share on multi-family loans above $3 million |
| **2. Use QAP to expand high-quality housing choices and create sustainable housing near public transportation and good quality educational and employment choices** | Simplify QAP requirements for 4% tax credit projects to Federal affordability restrictions  
Use Opportunity Fund for interest-rate buydowns and small gap loans on projects that do not require deep subsidies |
| **3. Help low and moderate-income households build wealth through homeownership** | Significantly expand downpayment assistance, including:  
- Increasing limits on assistance to each borrower  
- Being able to use CHFA’s ITA to supplement state’s DAP  
Create ITA-funded home improvement loan program such as that operated by Minnesota  
Use Opportunity Fund for small guarantee to protect ITA |
| **4. Determine cost and merit of taking more risk in financing opportunities** | Strategic Capital Analysis  
Risk budget for allocating risk  
Revise capital structure to establish Opportunity Fund with 50% of CHFA’s net annual income while continuing to build CHFA’s financial sustainability |
| **5. Go beyond business as usual. Strengthen communication with current and future stakeholders** | Make multi-family lending more user-friendly through prepayment policy, streamlining/standardizing requirements and two bond issues per year |
## 8. Addressing CHFA’s Strategic Priorities

### Synergistic Resources for the State’s Housing Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHFA Funds</th>
<th>Outside Core</th>
<th>State Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainable Core</strong></td>
<td><strong>Opportunity Fund</strong></td>
<td><strong>State DAP Fund</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Resolution Bond Funds</td>
<td>CHFA DPA loans</td>
<td>General Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Resolution Bond Funds</td>
<td>Home improve. loans</td>
<td>Special Needs Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>Mobile home refinancing loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Core</td>
<td>Loans that support CHFA’s rating</td>
<td>Either in or outside General Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to CHFA’s board</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHFA’s Sustainable Core</td>
<td>Bond-eligible loans that support CHFA’s rating</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Bond-eligible loans that support CHFA’s rating</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Loans that support CHFA’s rating</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Revolving DPA loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group homes approved by state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specific Projects approved by DOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential new uses</td>
<td>A new SF MBS resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus funds can be used to fund CHFA DPA loans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHFA DPA loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home improve. loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobile home refinancing loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MF loans incl. 9% tax credit projects, take-out of bond loans, and bridge acquis’n loans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warehouse MBS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential new uses</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional group homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 8. Addressing CHFA’s Strategic Priorities

### Proposed Uses of Opportunity Fund for 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Opportunity Fund Amount for 2021</th>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>Leveraging</th>
<th>Funds to be Leveraged</th>
<th>Total Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Magnet Fund subsidies for very low-income rental housing</td>
<td>$4 million</td>
<td>Subsidies for very low-income rental housing</td>
<td>Potentially 2 to 1</td>
<td>U.S. Treasury, if awarded</td>
<td>$8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeownership lending guarantee fund</td>
<td>$1 million</td>
<td>Cover 10% of risk on new home improvement lending program</td>
<td>10 to 1</td>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>$10 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance CHFA multi-family lending</td>
<td>$3 million</td>
<td>- Interest rate buydown of 1/2 of 1% on selected mf bond/ITA loans</td>
<td>20 to 1</td>
<td>ITA and multi-family bonds</td>
<td>$60 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Interest rate protection of 1/2 of 1% for ITA permanent take-out loans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Small gap loans, typically 250 – 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>$8 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$78 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 8. Addressing CHFA’s Strategic Priorities

### Proposed ITA Lending Priorities for 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>ITA for 2021</th>
<th>Funds to be Leveraged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home improvement loan program</td>
<td>$ 9 million</td>
<td>$ 1 mill. from Opportunity Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home refinancing program</td>
<td>$ 5 million</td>
<td>$ 1 mill. Helping to House New England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF loans for 9% tax credit projects, perm. take-out for bond loans, bridge acquisition loans</td>
<td>$ 14 million</td>
<td>Opportunity Fund:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- selected rate buydowns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- interest rate protection on permanent loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- small gap loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>$ 28 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Proposed Use of Helping to House New England

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Helping to House New England</th>
<th>Funds to be Leveraged</th>
<th>Total Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile home refinancing program</td>
<td>$ 1 million</td>
<td>Subsidize rate to 1%</td>
<td>ITA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 9. Criteria for Major Decisions

**Checklist for maintaining sustainability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does the action or decision:</th>
<th>Why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__1. Make it easier for Board, staff, stakeholders to see CHFA's available resources and risks</td>
<td>Provide clear, comprehensive basis for decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__2. Reduce sensitivity to future interest rates</td>
<td>So CHFA is less affected by changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit unhedged bonds to amount of CHFA's cash investments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__3. Maintain or increase future risk-adjusted net worth</td>
<td>Key for CHFA’s long-term financial flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__4. Help increase and stabilize long-term annual income</td>
<td>Determines what CHFA can do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__5. Use net annual income to increase equally:</td>
<td>Assure long-term financial sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ITA net worth and</td>
<td>Create win-win</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Opportunity Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__6. Increase CHFA’s return on its investment in future income (e.g. costs of issuance and servicing release premium)</td>
<td>Importance of investing in ongoing income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__7. Use PAB to help maximize return to CHFA and meet needs that cannot be served otherwise</td>
<td>Key limited scarce resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>__8. Utilize Opportunity Fund synergistically to support bond and ITA investments</td>
<td>Enables CHFA to expand production while maintaining sustainability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. Appendix
## Action Plan Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Recommendation</th>
<th>Why Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clarify financial structure with 50% of net annual income to Opportunity Fund and 50% to ITA</td>
<td>Enhance both mission and long-term sustainability; provide clear choices for Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reinvest ITA principal recoveries in ITA</td>
<td>Resource for future lending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hedge $150 million of unhedged variable rate debt use hedged rather than unhedged variable rate on future issues</td>
<td>Protect future annual income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Create annual risk budget</td>
<td>Allocates risk on new lending to help maintain rating agency-risk-adjusted net worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Create rolling amendment to General Resolution to remove State pledge and create more flexibility (reduce debt service reserve to 6 months on whole loans, allow 2nd mortgages, etc.)</td>
<td>When ultimately incorporated in 2/3 of outstanding bonds will make General Resolution far more useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Establish new single-family MBS resolution by refunding and transferring net assets from single-family NIBP resolution</td>
<td>More efficient single-family financings for many transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Establish drawdown warehousing line for single-family, when replacing existing warehousing facility</td>
<td>Improve net interest income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Obtain $50 mill. unsecured line of credit</td>
<td>Supplements general liquidity if ever needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Establish recycling line of credit for private activity bond cap</td>
<td>More timing flexibility on refunding old bonds rather than keep higher-rate bonds outstanding for additional months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Make available two pooled multi-family issues each year, rather than one</td>
<td>Reduce risk if interest rates rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Begin hedging single-family loan pipeline</td>
<td>Reduce risk if interest rates rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Offer single-family mortgage-backed securities for TBA sale</td>
<td>May improve net present value compared to bond financing, Does not use PAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Use interest-only strip transactions to annuitize spread income from single-family mortgage-backed securities</td>
<td>Where lower cost of funds than bonds to increase future annual income, Does not use PAB and reduces cost of issuance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Action Plan Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homeownership Recommendation</th>
<th>Why Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Revise downpayment assistance guidelines | Help meet borrower needs  
Compete on downpayment assistance rather than loan rate, to help increase annual ongoing income |
| 2. Supplement state DAP funds with ITA to assure adequate $ for expanded downpayment assistance | Avoid having to restrict amounts for borrowers  
Create attractive revolving investment for ITA  
with modest amount of Opportunity Fund $ as loss reserve |
| 3. Do not resubordinate downpayment assistance loans | Resubordination reduces CHFA’s annual income on 1st mortgages by encouraging prepayments, and restricts $ available to help new homebuyers |
| 4. Set mortgage rates to achieve at least 1% expected spread if bonding production | Assure adequate return given costs of issuance |
| 5. Speed up loan and document delivery times | Reduces CHFA’s rate risk |
| 6. Consider raising net origination fees retained by lenders | If helpful to spur production and to incentivize faster deliveries  
Offset by slightly higher loan rate |
| 7. Develop home improvement loan program funded by ITA | Meet strategic priority  
Assist many borrowers with lower, fixed cost of funds  
Provide attractive investment for ITA  
with modest amount of Opportunity Fund $ as loss reserve |
### Action Plan Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-Family Recommendation</th>
<th>Why Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Allow prepayment:</td>
<td>Create more user-friendly relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  – in 15 years with 1 point if refinancing with CHFA,</td>
<td>Encourage new lending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  – after 20 years with 1 point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.</strong> Use FHA risk-share on loans above $3 mill.</td>
<td>Maintain rating agency risk-adjusted net worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.</strong> Simplify loan underwriting for loans below $3 m.</td>
<td>Reduce CHFA's exposure by 50% at almost no cost (1/8 of 1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.</strong> Amend QAP to allow 4% tax credit projects without requiring deeper affordability</td>
<td>Create more user-friendly lending and reduce staff time needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.</strong> Explore opportunities to link CHFA lending with local project-based vouchers</td>
<td>Meet strategic priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6.</strong> Set interest rates to earn spread of at least 1% on bonds (or .85% with risk-share)</td>
<td>Use PAB for multi-family lending as its highest priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7.</strong> Use Opportunity Fund to buy down rates on selected loans</td>
<td>Help finance projects which do not need deep subsidies without reducing resources for those needing such subsidies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.</strong> Make permanent loan commitments from ITA at 50 basis points above current lending rate</td>
<td>Maintain annual income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9.</strong> Use Opportunity Fund to make small gap loans</td>
<td>Enables CHFA to lower loan rate by up to 50 basis points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10.</strong> Make available pooled bond issues twice yearly</td>
<td>Provides long-term income for ITA as take-out lender, incl. for 4% tax credit projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11.</strong> Can provide conduit bonds on portion of redirected state PAB</td>
<td>Enhances CHFA's cooperation with construction lenders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use Opportunity Fund to provide additional yield protection to ITA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helps projects that do not need deep subsidies to use CHFA bond and ITA lending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More user-friendly for borrowers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduces CHFA interest rate exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enables CHFA to offer alternative execution for up to $40 mill. per year while providing fee income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Strategic Capital Analysis*
### Net Annual Income by Type of Income

**Table 4. Income by Type, FY 17 – annualized 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Income</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>Annualized 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing from past activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest earnings*</td>
<td>54.887</td>
<td>48.966</td>
<td>54.673</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servicing, bond management</td>
<td>-4.388</td>
<td>-2.644</td>
<td>-1.049</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan losses &amp; foreclosure expenses</td>
<td>-3.100</td>
<td>-19.381</td>
<td>-4.995</td>
<td>-1.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ongoing from past activities</strong></td>
<td>47.449</td>
<td>26.921</td>
<td>48.629</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current year income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee income</td>
<td>9.496</td>
<td>6.820</td>
<td>8.773</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current year income</strong></td>
<td>-19.106</td>
<td>-22.981</td>
<td>-19.766</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment in future ongoing income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(costs of issuance &amp; servicing release fees)</td>
<td>-11.704</td>
<td>-11.506</td>
<td>-9.392</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>16.639</td>
<td>-7.566</td>
<td>19.471</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Income on other resolutions is included in net interest earnings; ** foreclosures deferred by moratorium
Projected Cumulative Impact of Capital Structure

The new proposed approach reflects recycling principal in the ITA, allocating half of net annual income to ITA and half to Opportunity Fund, and allowing multi-family prepayments as proposed.

Table 5. Present Value of Projected Net Worth of General Resolution and Opportunity Fund deposits through 2030 ($ mill.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>With Existing Structure</th>
<th>With New Proposed Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Resolution</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>815</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Resolution</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>821</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher Rates Stress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Resolution</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>712</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: cfX cash flow projections with new production through 2023, average multi-family prepayment at 25 years, and deposits to Opportunity Fund beginning in 2020. Resolution net worth in 2030 and deposits to Opportunity Fund are present valued at 3% discount rate.
**Interest Only Strip Transactions v. Bonds**

**IO Strips versus Single-Family Bonds**

*In recent months, IO strips have provided significantly greater present value executions for HFAs*

**Fig. 6. Impacts of IO Strips v. Bonds**

Cumulative Present Value of 4 Months of Transactions

- Assumes $45MM financed per month
- Uses actual executed IO strip pricing from other HFAs
- Uses indicative and actual taxable monthly pass-through bond rates